

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/586,131	06/02/2000		Marc Delcourt	1184-00	6329
22469	7590	01/08/2003			
		SON SEGAL &	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1600 MARKI SUITE 3600			FRIEND, TOMAS H F		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1639	
				DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/586,131	DELCOURT, MARC					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tomas Friend	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main attention and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention.	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 November 2002</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR). 	Appellant's Brief must be filed						
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		ir trie,appear. See Asses See					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		and NOTE belowly and					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further they have raise the issue of new metter (see Note have		see NOTE below),					
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appear by mate	enally reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		 Section of the control of the control					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti		en e					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20-22.	·						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(,PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	ANDDEN						
_	ANDREW WARDS RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE: CHNOLOGY CENTER 1666	Tomas Friend, Ph.D. 26 December 2002					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/586,131

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would lead to the reinstatement of a windrawn rejection. The proposed amendment removes the limitation regarding the method being useful to isolate a nucleic acid fragment and diagnose a genetic disease, which would lead to the reinstatement of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 previously withdrawn.

The claimed invention is a method of isolating an intact clone of one target nucleic acid fragment having a known characteristic.

Applicant argues that the method can be used to isolate a nucleic acid sequence with known utility. Applicant's argument has been fully considered but it is not persuasive. Identifying a nucleic acid fragment that is already known or indentifying a sequence with an unspecified enzymatic activity is not a specific and substantial utility. Applicants do not exemplify or assert a specific and substantial utility in the application as filed.

Applicant argues that the specific and substantial utility is to isolate a target nucleic acid sequence from a mixture of DNA fragments of similar size. Applicant's argument has been fully considered but it is not persuasive. The specific and substantial utility to isolate a target nucleic acid sequence from a mixture of DNA fragments of similar size not asserted in the application as filed. Further, the claimed method isolates fragments based upon a known characteristic with no limitation regarding similar sizes of fragments.